REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF

CALIFORNIA INVESTMENT BANKERS; TENTATIVE MAP; TM 5488, ER 06-14-021

October 13, 2008

			<u>E</u> – Does the proposed project conform Ordinance findings?	to the
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠	3
Discussion:				
of the Multiple	Species Cons	ervation Pro	provements are located within the bound gram. Therefore, conformance to the Ha ace findings is not required.	aries abitat
			ect conform to the Multiple Species igation Ordinance?	
	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT	
Discussion:				
located inside project conforn	of the bounda ns to the Multi	ries of the Mi ple Species (provements related to the proposed projection of the proposed projection of the Species Conservation Program. To a servation Program and the Biologicate MSCP Findings of Conformance date	The al
III. GROUNDW the San Diego	VATER ORDII County Grour	NANCE - Doe ndwater Ordii	es the project comply with the requiremenance?	ents of
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ⊠	
Discussion:				÷

The project will obtain its water supply from the Padre Dam Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT ☑

Discussion:

Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodways or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

Steep Slopes:

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

Sensitive Habitats:

No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Christine Stevenson on May 26, 2006. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The County of San Diego staff archaeologist/historian has inspected the property, analyzed records, and determined there are no archaeological/ historical sites. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Section 86.604(g) of the RPO

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

Discussion:

The project Storm Water Management Plan received May 20, 2008 and a supplement for LID received August 20, 2008 were reviewed for this project and appears to be complete and in compliance with the WPO.

Note: The applicant needs to submit the currently used County of San Diego formant for Major SWMP that is required after March 24, 2008 as part of road improvement plans and L-grading plans.

VI. NOISE ORDINANCE – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES (NO	NOT APPLICABLE
\boxtimes		

Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.